

In rebuttal to the claims on climate change, I offer the published works of two experts in the field. I do not claim that the climate is not changing the way the newspapers and others are reporting. I hold a degree in Geology and spent four years studying how the earth and its climate changes. I have tried to keep track of the arguments ever since. But I prefer to defer to the experts.

The first expert is Bjorn Lomborg from Denmark. Mr. Bjorn Lomborg has commented about the efficacy of the Inflation Reduction Act just passed by the Biden administration. He said that current American efforts will, at best, reduce the gasses by no more than 3/100 of 1 degree F. So it is not worth billions of dollars. Further only 6% of the money will be spent on innovation. All of it should go to innovation. The current technology is inefficient, ineffective and expensive. If you want to get the Asian and African countries to cooperate with the reduction of greenhouse gases, we have to sponsor technology that is effective, efficient, and inexpensive. Currently they can't afford to spend their money on current technology. So throwing US dollars into this technology wastes our money and sets a bad example for all the poorer nations.

The second source is from Allan Stein who is an Epoch Times reporter who covers the state of Arizona. ...He references emeritus professor of geophysics Guus Berkhout and science journalist Marcel Crok to promote knowledge and understanding of climate change in forming climate policy. ...

Stein wrote that more than 1,100 scientists and professionals worldwide have signed a World Climate Declaration (WCD) stating that there is no climate emergency.... "politicians should dispassionately count the real costs as well as the imagined benefits of their policy measures," the declaration states.

CLINTEL was founded in 2019 by "We simply state that all evidence so far indicates that the increase in CO₂ and the increase in temperature [are] not harmful for us or for nature and therefore the climate hysteria surrounding the topic is totally unjustified [and] that the 'cure'—getting rid of fossil fuels asap and replace them with renewables—probably will be worse than the 'disease' [climate change]," Crok said. ...

The WCD concludes that the science of climate change is far from settled and that the geological archive shows Earth's climate has been in flux for as long as the planet has existed....

"They do not only exaggerate the effect of greenhouse gases, [but] they also ignore that enriching the atmosphere with CO₂ is beneficial. CO₂ is not a pollutant. It is essential to all life on Earth. More CO₂ is favorable for nature,

greening our planet....“CO₂ is plant food, the basis of all life on Earth,” the declaration states.

“There is no statistical evidence that global warming is intensifying hurricanes, floods, droughts, and suchlike natural disasters or making them more frequent. However, there is ample evidence that CO₂ mitigation measures are as damaging as they are costly.”

In addition, humans are not the only causes of the warming of our planet. Changes in ocean currents, a natural full return to the end of the ice ages, and solar flares can all affect our climate. One other contributor that humans can not control is Continental Drift. Over the history of the earth this may change the climate more than any other factor. It changes the ocean currents for sure.